



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,017	05/14/2001	Sangeeta Varma	0007056-0212/P6314	4875

7590 10/17/2005

MARTINE & PENILLA LLP
710 Lakeway Drive #170
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

EXAMINER

GELAGAY, SHEWAYE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2137

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/858,017		VARMA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Shewaye Gelagay		2133	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 8, 9, 11-16, 19, 23-25 and 34-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 8, 9, 11-16, 19, 23-25, 34 and 42-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 35-41 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on August 1, 2005. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 11-13, 15-16, 19 and 23-25 have been amended. Claims 4-7, 10, 17-18, 20-22 and 26-33 are cancelled. New Claims 42-50 are added. Claims 1-3, 8-9, 11-16, 19, 23-25 and 34-50 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 24 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: Both claims have not been provided with the proper status. "Original" should be changed to "Currently Amended". Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed August 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims, the following comments are made:

The Applicant argues Stoltz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,615,264) does not disclose one or more tokens can be converted to the authenticated token that is in turn used to associate the user with the session. The Examiner disagrees and maintains the rejection. Stoltz discloses an authentication system that is configured to authenticate a user based on a different authentication mechanism (e.g. smart card, login, password or biometrics) and may be utilized in connection with one or more sessions. (Abstract)

Furthermore, Stoltz discloses a user may access a session by initiating a login or other authentication mechanism, in which the user is validated and may be associated with a particular session. (Col. 7, lines 61-Col. 8, lines 1-6)

The Applicant argues Stoltz fails to disclose a user is assigned one or more tokens, each of which can be converted to the authenticated token. The Examiner disagrees and maintains all the rejections. Stoltz discloses a user may access a session by initiating a login or other authentication mechanism; tokens may be encoded into smart cards. (Col. 7, lines 61-63). Stoltz further discloses an authentication modules may be structured for any mechanism that verifies the identity of the user to the system. A key or password or biometrics can be used to authenticate a user based on a smart card while another authentication my be utilized to authenticate a user based on a key or password or biometrics information. (Col. 9, lines 17-40)

The Applicant argues Stoltz does not disclose the user can access the same session on the second terminal while the session is still being accessed by the first terminal. The Examiner disagrees and maintains the rejections. Stoltz discloses authenticating and relocating a user's session based on the current location of the user without requiring performing user validation. (Col. 7, lines 16-20) In addition Stoltz further discloses a user may be associated with a particular session (Col. 8, lines 1-2)

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant and maintains all rejections. All amendments and argument by the Applicant have been considered. It is the Examiner's conclusion that calms 1-3, 8-9, 11-16, 19, 23-25, 34 and 42-50 are not patentably

Art Unit: 2133

distinct or non-obvious over the prior art of record. Therefore, all the rejection is maintained as given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-34 and 42-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stoltz et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,615,264.

As per claims 1 and 23:

Stoltz teaches a method and a computer program for accessing a session comprising:

converting a first token of one or more tokens assigned to a user to an authenticated token; (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65; Col. 9, lines 18-40; Col. 10, lines 30-35)

associating said user with said session using said authenticated token; and (Col. 7, lines 61-67; Col. 8; lines 1-2; a user may access a system by initiating a login or other authentication mechanism ... a user may be associated with a particular session)

Art Unit: 2133

presenting said authenticated token to access said session from a first terminal, wherein each token of the one or more tokens assigned to said user can be implemented to authenticate said user, and further wherein said authenticated token can be created by each token of the one or more tokens assigned to said user. (Col. 7, lines 61-Col. 8, lines 1-6; Col. 8, lines 35-39; Col. 9, lines 39-40; Col. 10, lines 13-15 lines 35-37; Col. 11, lines 19-22) Col. 8, lines 8-9; Col. 9, lines 19-45)

As per claims 2 and 24:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method and a computer program comprising:

converting a second token of the one or more tokens assigned to said user to said authenticated token, said second token being implemented to authenticate said user; (Col. 9, lines 27-34) and presenting said authenticated token to access said session from a second terminal. (Col. 6, lines 16-20; Col. 8, lines 1-2; Col. 9, lines 48-50; Col. 11, lines 31-40; the user can log back in using a network terminal such as network terminal 202, connect to session and interact with the services ... there can be multiple instances of network terminal 202; Col. 13, lines 58; multiple session can be associated with a user)

As per claims 3 and 14:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method and a system comprising:

sending a session disconnect signal to said first terminal; (Col. 15, lines 57-58; when disconnect message is received, session manager sends a disconnect message

Art Unit: 2133

to each one of the services directing them to terminate sending I/O to network terminal) and

routing input and output for said session to said second terminal. (Col. 14, lines 24-32; ...user is connected to network terminal ...direct input/output to network terminal)

As per claims 8, 9, 19, 45, 46 and 50:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method, a system and a computer program wherein said biometric identifier is a finger print pattern or a retinal image. (Col. 3, lines 15-16; Col. 8, lines 37-38; Col. 10, lines 57-58; Col. 16, lines 53-54)

As per claim 11:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method wherein said session is identified by said authenticated token. (Col. 10, lines 35-39; Col. 11, lines 65-67; Col. 12, lines 1-30)

As per claim 12:

Stoltz teaches a session accessing system comprising:
an associating unit configured to associate a user with a session using an authenticated token created using an initial token assigned to said user, (Col. 7, lines 61-67; Col. 8; lines 1-2; a user may access a system by initiating a login or other authentication mechanism ... a user may be associated with a particular session) said associating unit including,

Art Unit: 2133

a converting unit configured to convert said initial token to said authenticated token; (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65; Col. 9, lines 18-40; Col. 10, lines 30-35) and

a first presenting unit configured to present said authenticated token to access said session from a first terminal. (Col. 7, lines 61-Col. 8, lines 1-6; Col. 8, lines 35-39; Col. 9, lines 39-40; Col. 10, lines 13-15 lines 35-37; Col. 11, lines 19-22)

As per claim 13:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a system comprising:

a second presenting unit configured to present said authenticated token to access said session from a second terminal while said session is still being accessed from said first terminal. (Col. 6, lines 16-20; Col. 8, lines 1-2; Col. 9, lines 48-50; Col. 11, lines 31-40; Col. 13, lines 58)

As per claim 15:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a system wherein said step of associating unit further comprises:

authenticating an identity of said user implementing said initial token. (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65)

As per claims 16, 43 and 49:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method, a system and a computer program wherein said step of authenticating comprises:

Art Unit: 2133

obtaining said first token, said first token being a physical token, a passphrase or a biometric identifier assigned to said user. (Col. 3, lines 15-16; using smart card, using login and password, using biometric data, etc.)

As per claim 25:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a computer program comprising:

computer readable code configured to cause one or more computers to send a session disconnect signal to said first terminal; (Col. 15, lines 57-58; when disconnect message is received, session manager sends a disconnect message to each one of the services directing them to terminate sending I/O to network terminal) and

computer readable code configured to cause said one or more computers to route input and output for said session to said second terminal. (Col. 14, lines 24-32; ...user is connected to network terminal ...direct input/output to network terminal)

As per claim 34:

Stoltz teaches a method of accessing a session comprising:

authenticating an identity of a user implementing a first token of one or more tokens assigned to said user; (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65)

converting said first token of one or more tokens to an authenticated token; (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65; Col. 9, lines 18-40; Col. 10, lines 30-35)

associating said user with said session using said authenticated token; (Col. 7, lines 61-67; Col. 8; lines 1-2; a user may access a system by initiating a login or other authentication mechanism ... a user may be associated with a particular session) and

presenting said authenticated token to access said session from a first terminal, (Col. 8, lines 35-39; Col. 9, lines 39-40; Col. 10, lines 13-15 lines 35-37; Col. 11, lines 19-22)

wherein said authenticated token can be created using each token of the one or more tokens. (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65; Col. 9, lines 18-40; Col. 10, lines 30-35)

As per claims 42 and 47:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses wherein said first token is the same as said second token or said first token is different from said second token. (Abstract; Col. 7, lines 61-64; Col. 8, lines 8-9; Col. 9, lines 19-45)

As per claim 44:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a method wherein said step of authenticating comprises:

obtaining said second token, said second token being a physical token, a passphrase or a biometric identifier assigned to said user. (Col. 3, lines 15-16; using smart card, using login and password, using biometric data, etc.)

As per claim 48:

Stoltz teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Stoltz further discloses a computer program further comprising:

Computer readable code configured to cause said one or more computer to authenticate an identity of said user implementing each token of the one or more

Art Unit: 2133

tokens, (Col. 3, lines 12-17; Col. 7, lines 61-65; Col. 9, lines 17-40) said computer readable code including,

computer readable code configured to cause said one or more computers to obtain said first token of said one or more tokens, and computer readable code configured to cause said one or more computers to obtain second token. (Col. 3, lines 15-16; using smart card, using login and password, using biometric data, etc.; Col. 9, lines 17-40)

Allowable subject matter

6. Claim 35 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten independent from including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claimed invention comprises authenticating identity of user by implementing a second token of one or more tokens assigned to the user, presenting the authenticated token to access said session from second terminal while the session is still being accessed from said first terminal which is not covered by the prior art.

Claims 36-41, which are directly or indirectly dependents of claim 35 are also objected.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO Form 892.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shewaye Gelagay whose telephone number is 571-272-4219. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shewaye Gelagay
10/07/05

SL

E. L. Moise
EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER